

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/771,433 | 01/26/2001 | John C. Voellmicke | DEP 530 | 8044 | |
| 7590 10/05/2005 | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| Philip S. Johnson, Esq. Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003 | | | AHMED, AAMER S | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3763 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/771,433 | VOELLMICKE ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Aamer S. Ahmed | 3763 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with t | the correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinged patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI | TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja | nuani 2001 | | | | |
| · · | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ′= | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ت. ا | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| * | Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | plantian requirement | | | | |
| اکا(ه | Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> are subject to restriction and/or of | election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication No ceived in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachmer | ot(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | | Mail Date Trnal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/771,433

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-57, 62-74 and 85-88, drawn to an apparatus comprising a fluid retention chamber and a manifold, classified in class 366, subclass 173.1.
- II. Claims 58-61, drawn to an apparatus comprising a fluid retention chamber and comprising a sterile orthopaedic device, classified in class 623, subclass 17.11.
- III. Claims 83-84, drawn to Graft forceps, classified in class 606, subclass 205.
- IV. Claims 75-82, drawn to a connector, classified in class 604, subclass 403. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the orthopaedic device is not needed for the retention chamber and manifold. The subcombination has separate utility such as a allograft fusion chamber.

Art Unit: 3763

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, the fluid retention chamber functions to mix biomedical fluids and the forceps functions to handle gelled grafts.

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fluid retention apparatus can function with out the connector device as described. The subcombination has separate utility such as connecting a catheter.

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the fluid retention apparatus can function with out the connector device as described. The subcombination has separate utility such as connecting a catheter

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, forceps functions to handle gelled grafts and the connector functions to connect tubing.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions, the fluid retention chamber functions to mix biomedical fluids and the forceps functions to handle gelled grafts.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/771,433

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aamer S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-5965. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9-5.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΑА

Acumer Seyed Alime I

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700